# Discrete MRF Inference of Marginal Densities for Non-uniformly Discretized Variable Space Masaki Saito, Takayuki Okatani, Koichiro Deguchi(Tohoku Univ.) • We present a new approach to convert continuous MRFs to discrete MRFs, which enables non-uniform discretization of the variable space • It yields extended MF/BP algorithms, which can achieve better trade-off between estimation accuracy and computational complexity • We also propose a "dynamic discretization method" that adaptively discretizes the variable space depending on the necessity

## Introduction

This study

MRF models:

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(-E(\mathbf{x})) \quad E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} f_i(x_i) + \sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{E}} f_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$$

Two types of inference used with MRFs:

= Estimation of MAP inference MPM inference marginal densities  $\mathbf{x}^* = \arg \max Q(\mathbf{x})$  $x_i^* = \arg \max q_i(x_i)$  $= \arg \min E(\mathbf{x})$  $q_i(x_i) = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} dx_1 \cdots & dx_{i-1} \\ dx_{i+1} \cdots & dx_N Q(\mathbf{x}) \end{array} \right]$ 

#### **Continuous/Discrete MRFs**

Because of the limitation with continuous MRFs, the estimation is often performed in the discrete domain even for continuous problems; the marginal densities are approximated by discrete densities

#### Tradeoff: accuracy vs. computational cost

Accurate approximation requires fine discretization, which will increase the computational cost; the variable space is uniformly discretized

**Our idea**: Discretize the variable space in a non-uniform manner such that the approximation will be accurate with a small number of discretization



## Variational approach

### How to cope with the intractability of computing marginal densities:

1. Consider P such that its marginal densities will be easily calculated:

$$\text{MF} \ P(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i} p_i(x_i) \qquad \text{BP} \ P(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\prod_{i,j} p_{ij}(x_i, x_j)}{\prod_{i} p_i(x_i)^{z_i - 1}}$$

2. Find P minimizing the KL distance between P and Q.

 $\mathcal{D}[P||Q] \to \min \Leftrightarrow F[P] = \langle E \rangle_P - S[P] \to \min$ 

[Koller-Friedman, Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques, The MIT Press, 2009]

## Our approach

- 1. Discretize the variable space
- 2. Redefine the energy in the discrete domain
- $\rightarrow$  The original continuous energy (if any) is discarded

#### **Our approach:**

 $f_{ij}(x_i, x_j)$ 

 $f_i(x_i)$ 

- Represent the marginal density as a mixture of rectangular densities
- Derive MF/BP message exchange rules in the variational framework
- $\rightarrow$  The original continuous energy is maintained

weight rectangular of  

$$p_{i}(x_{i}) = \sum_{s=1}^{S_{i}} \alpha_{i}^{s} h_{i}^{s}(x_{i})$$

$$p_{ij}(x_{i}, x_{j}) = \sum_{s} \sum_{t} \alpha_{ij}^{st} h_{i}^{s}(x_{i}) h_{j}^{t}(x_{i})$$

### **Results: New MF/BP algorithms**



### Advantages:

- Enable non-uniform discretization → Better trade-off between accuracy and computational complexity
- Could be useful for dealing with the variable spaces that are non-Euclidean and are difficult to discretize uniformly



#### **Conventional way of converting continuous MRFs to discrete ones**



## Dynamic discretization of the variable space

- is the largest
- messages



• If a prior knowledge is available about where is important in the variable space, the application of our method is straightforward

We propose a "dynamic discretization method" that can be used when no such knowledge is available

Discretize the variable space coarsely and estimate coarse marginal densities

2. Identify the block whose mixture weight  $\alpha_i^s$ 

3. Divide this block into subblocks by adjusting the values of the weights or the



 $p_i(x_i)$ 

### Experimental results (BP)

